REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present U.S. patent application. Claims 1-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. No claims have been amended, canceled or added. Therefore, claims 1-16 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Rejections of Claims 1 and 9 based on Burnham in view of Beernink

Claims 1 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,546,480 issued to Burnham et al. (*Burnham*) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,708,674 issued to Beernink et al. (*Beernink*). For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicants submit that claims 1 and 9 are not rendered obvious by *Burnham* and *Beernink*.

Claim 1 recites the following:

an undoped layer located over the active region, the undoped layer having a barrier region including aluminum located thereover, wherein the barrier region does not form a portion of the active region;

Claim 9 is a method claim and recites similar limitations.

Burnham discloses an injection laser having quantum size effect transparent waveguiding, where the laser includes an active layer having an active region. See Abstract. The active layer with the active region is located on a first cladding layer, which is located on a substrate. See Fig. 2; col. 4, lines 21-26. A second cladding layer is formed on the active layer, and a content layer is located on the second cladding layer. See Fig. 2; col. 4, lines 26-28. The active layer comprises multiple layers of undoped GaAs/GaAlAs below the active region. See Fig. 4; col. 5, lines 46-57.

Examiner: G. Wang Art Unit: 2871 The Examiner asserts that the active region in *Burnham* is the same as the active region recited in claims 1 and 9, and the Examiner asserts that the active layer comprising alternating layers of undoped GaAs/GaAlAs in *Burnham* is a barrier region. See Office Action, page 3, lines 7-10 and page 5, lines 8-11. Applicants do not concede that the active region in *Burnham* is the same as the active region recited in claims 1 and 9, or that the active layer in *Burnham* is a barrier region. However, Examiner's so-called barrier region is located below the active region in *Burnham*, and there is no undoped layer above the active region in *Burnham* and between Examiner's so-called barrier region and the active region in *Burnham*. Therefore, for at least the reasons set forth above, *Burnham* fails to disclose an undoped layer located over the active region, the undoped layer having a barrier region including aluminum located thereover, wherein the barrier region does not form a portion of the active region.

Beernink fails to correct the deficiencies of Burnham pointed out by Applicant. The Examiner cites Beernink because Burnham fails to disclose that an active layer is under a barrier layer. See Office Action, page 3, lines 11-14. However, regardless of whether the Examiner's interpretation of Beernink is correct, Examiner does not assert that Beernink discloses an undoped layer located over an active region, the undoped layer having a barrier region including aluminum located thereover, wherein the barrier region does not form a portion of the active region.

For at least the reasons set forth above, *Burnham* in view of *Beernink* does not disclose at least one limitation of claims 1 and 9. Consequently, claims 1 and 9 are not rendered obvious by *Burnham* in view of *Beernink* for at least the reasons set forth above. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 1 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

App. No. 09/757,099 Docket No. Geva 6-2-4-21 Examiner: G. Wang Art Unit: 2871 Rejections of Claims 2-8 and 10-16 based on Burnham in view of DePoorter

Claims 2-8 and 10-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over on *Burnham* in view of WO 97/50133 issued to DePoorter (*DePoorter*). For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicants submit that claims 2-8 and 10-16 are not rendered obvious by *Burnham* in view of *DePoorter*.

Applicants agree with the Examiner that *Burnham* fails to disclose the limitations of claims 2-8 and 10-16. See Office Action, page 4, lines 1 – page 5, line 2. However, Examiner contends that *DePoorter* discloses the limitations of claims 2-8 and 10-16. Applicants do not concede that *DePoorter* discloses the limitations of claims 2-8 and 10-16. However, Examiner has not asserted that *DePoorter* discloses an undoped layer located over an active region, the undoped layer having a barrier region including aluminum located thereover, wherein the barrier region does not form a portion of the active region, as recited in claims 1 and 9. Therefore, regardless of whether the Examiner's interpretation of *DePoorter* is correct, *DePoorter* fails to cure the deficiencies of *Burnham* and *Beernink* pointed out by Applicants. Consequently, the combination of *Burnham*, *Beernink* and *DePoorter* fails to disclose at least one limitation of claims 1 and 9. Thus, claims 1 and 9 and are not rendered obvious by the combination of *Burnham*, *Beernink* and *DePoorter*.

Claims 2-8 depend from claim 1. Claims 10-16 depend from claim 9. Because dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend,

Applicants submit that claims 2-8 and 10-16 are not rendered obvious by *Burnham* and *Beernink* in view of *DePoorter* for at least the reasons set forth above. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claims 2-8 and 10-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

App. No. 09/757,099 Docket No. Geva 6-2-4-21 Examiner: G. Wang Art Unit: 2871

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that the rejections have been overcome. Therefore, claims 1-16 are in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 28, 2005

Joseph A. Pugh

Reg. No. 52,137

TriQuint Semiconductor, Inc. 2300 NE Brookwood Parkway Hillsboro, OR 97124 (503) 615-9616